



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,549	9 09/27/2000		Koichi Sato	P19602	6759
7055	7590	01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE				VILLECCO, JOHN M	
RESTON, VA 20191				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2612	•

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/670,549	SATO, KOICHI
Examiner	Art Unit
John M. Villecco	2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

condit	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [\boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	stensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee exen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) L they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: 12-17.
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-5 and 8-10</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6,7 and 11</u> .
0	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	Other: See Continuation Sheet
10.23	WENDY R. GARBER EXAMINER
	wend R. GARBEN WENDY R. GARBEN WENDY R. GARBEN WENDY R. GARBEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600 Part of Paper No. 01062005 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
00	TECHNOLUSI CELLINOLUSI CELLINO

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has added a new limitation to claims 1 and 7, thus necessitating further consideration and/or search. More specifically, the limitation of an automatic mode constitutes a new issue.

Continuation of 10. Other: The objection to claims 3-5 and 8-10 as being substantial duplicates of claims 12-17 has been withdrawn since claims 1 and 7 were amended in the response mailed on June 14, 2004.